# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/202,463	08/19/1999	JAN BRUNDELL	100096.401	2926	
. 7	590 05/07/2002				
SEED & BERRY			EXAMINER		
6300 COLUMBIA CENTER SEATTLE, WA 981047092			TURNER, S	TURNER, SHARON L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1647	101	
		DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/202,463** 

Applicant(s)

\_

Brundell et al.

Examiner

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1647



Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the corre	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory percommunication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply tition. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: tatute, cause the application to become ABANI	y be timely filed 30) days will IS from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2-12-</u>		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>19-24</u>		is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera
5)		is/are allowed.
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>19-24</u>		is/are rejected.
7)		is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to	o restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a pproved	b) ☐ disapproved.
12) 🗹 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents had	ave been received.	
3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burd*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of t	documents have been received in this eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	lo(s)
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)6	20) Other:	•

Art Unit: 1647

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers filed under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d) based on application PCT/SE97/01164 filed 6-27-1996. However, Applicant's have not fully complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63(c), since the oath or declaration acknowledges the filing of the foreign application but incorrectly notes the filing date as 6-05-1996. It is noted that instant case is a 371 application. Applicants may have wished to claim foreign priority of another application filed 05 June 1996 although no such application is identified. A new oath or declaration is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by the correct filing date. The effective filing date is 6-27-1996 as indicated in the 903. Prior art is cited accordingly.

#### Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election of Group VI, SEQ ID NO:2 in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1647

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Eldik et al., PNAS 81:6034-38, October 1984, Okada et al., US 5320,944 June 14, 1994 and Shibue et al., 5,240,863 August 31, 1993.

Van Eldik et al., teach monoclonal antibodies to S100 beta having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 and SEQ ID NO:3. The antibodies specifically react with S100 beta as determined via ELISA (enzyme linked immunosorbant assay) assay, see in particular Abstract, Production of Monoclonal Antibodies and ELISA, p. 6034-6035.

Van Eldik et al., do not teach dual antibody (sandwich) ELISA with magnetic carrier particle and detection via luminol luminescence.

Okada et al., teach dual antibody ELISAs wherein the antibodies are bound to a carrier comprised of a magnetic particle, see in particular Immunoassay method, column 5-6 and Example 1-9. Okada notes that the detection may be via luminol-H2O2 (for peroxidase), see in particular column 5, lines 47-48.

Art Unit: 1647

Shibue et al., teach dual antibody ELISAs wherein the immunoreactant measuring is via electrochemiluminescence in particular with the substance luminol, see in particular column 1, line 17 and Utility, columns 5-6. Shibue also notes that the invention is carried out with suitable carrier particles such as magnetic metal carrier particles, see in particular column 2, lines 40-41

Thus it would have been prima facie obvious to the skilled artisan to modify the ELISA of Van Eldik with S100 beta monoclonal antibodies with the dual antibody sandwich assay of Okada et al and Shibue using magnetic carrier immobilization and detection via chemiluminescence. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a soluble sandwich assay using an antibody attached to a magnetic particle carrier and an antibody labeled with luminol based on the teachings of the advantages of magnetic carrier beads and luminol in isolation and detection of the immunoreactive aggregate and the advantages in sensitivity and detection using such modifications as taught by Okada and Shibue. One of skill in the art would have expected positive results using such modification based on the positive success achieved in the Van Eldik, Okada and Shibue re references and the high skill in the art of ELISAs. Thus, the cumulative reference teachings render the invention obvious to one skilled in the art.

### Status of Claims

- 5. No claims are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice

Art Unit: 1647

published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. May 1, 2002

GARY L. KUNZ SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER TEXNINOLOGY CENTER 1898